



**Ramsey County
Community Human Services**

Ramsey County Older Adults Survey Commissioner District Summary


**Report Prepared by
Laurie Hestness**

January, 2004

**Office of Performance Measurement & Evaluation
Ramsey County Community Human Services
150 East Kellogg Boulevard, St. Paul, MN 55101**

For more information about this report please call (651) 266-4315.

**RAMSEY COUNTY OLDER ADULT SURVEY
COMMISSIONER DISTRICTS' SUMMARY
JANUARY 2004**



Ramsey County Older Adults Survey

Commissioner District Summary¹

January 2004

This report summarizes information from two sources by Commissioner District:

- The 2000 Census
- A random sample survey of elderly in Ramsey County (N=500)

The survey results by Commissioner District (CD) should be interpreted carefully. The random sample for Ramsey County was pulled to provide statistically valid information for the entire County. Results from each Commissioner Districts are subject to higher levels of error. An overall report, *Ramsey County Older Adults Survey – Summary of Service Needs* provides a picture of seniors in Ramsey County, and is available from the Office of Performance Measurement and Evaluation, Ramsey County Human Services. This report focuses on characteristics that varied across CDs.

¹ Survey conducted by the Wilder Research Center, funding from Ramsey County Human Services and the Wilder Foundation.

Section I: 2000 Census

The 2000 Census provides extensive information about seniors in Ramsey County. This is a brief summary of some of the census information by Commissioner Districts.

Graph 1: Age

Error! Not a valid link.

According to the 2000 Census, there are 98,130 individuals 55 or older in Ramsey Co. The number of individuals 55 and older varies from a high of 19,094 in Commissioner District 2 to 10,706 in Commissioner District 4. CD 2 also has the largest number of individuals over the age of 65, 11,686.²

A. Older Adults Over 80

There are 18,017 seniors over the age of 80 in Ramsey County. The number of seniors over 80 varies from 1,537 seniors in CD 1 to 3,695 seniors in CD 5. Chart 1 shows what percentage of the seniors in each of the commissioner districts is 80 or older.

Chart 1: Percent of Seniors who are over 80


Commissioner District	% of Seniors 80 and over
Commissioner District 1	20.9%
Commissioner District 2	29.6%
Commissioner District 3	37.1%
Commissioner District 4	31.4%
Commissioner District 5	38.5%
Commissioner District 6	26.6%
Commissioner District 7	27.3%

The distribution of elderly over 80 is an issue for several reasons. The level of poverty among individuals who are 75 or older is 8.6% about 2% higher than for seniors overall. In addition, these are the individuals most likely to be in need of support services.

B. Living Alone

² See Chart A in appendix for exact numbers


Map 1: Older Adults Living Alone



Older adults living alone are more likely to need support services than are individuals who are living with family members. Family members are able to provide many of the informal services that allow individuals to remain in their own homes. Map 1 provides a picture of the distribution of seniors who are living alone in Ramsey County. Overall, 41% of the respondents to the survey said that they lived alone. Of the individuals who are receiving in home support services, 60% live alone. The concentrations of elderly living alone, seen on Map 1, are primarily a result of the distribution of senior housing.

C. Poverty

Map 2: Older Adults Living in Poverty



Map 2 on page 4 provides a visual picture of concentrations of older adults who are living in poverty. While the overall rate of poverty for elderly in Ramsey County is only 6.8% there are Census Block Groups where poverty rates for elderly are as high as 46%. Graph 2 demonstrates the distribution of older adults living in poverty by commissioner district.

Graph 2: Older Adults Living in Poverty
Error! Not a valid link.

Overall there are low levels of elderly living in poverty in Ramsey County. The poverty rate varies by commissioner district with 3% of seniors in CD 2 living in poverty compared to 12.7% in CD 5. Ten percent (10%) of seniors in CD 3 are in poverty.

The level of poverty among individuals who are 75 or older is 8.6% about 2% higher than for seniors overall. When the poverty information is compared across commissioner districts the highest level of poverty in this age group is in CD 5 where 15% of the seniors 75 years or older are living in poverty.

D. Race/Culture of Seniors

Understanding the racial and cultural background of older adults is essential to determine whether there is adequate access to services. Overall, 93% of the seniors in Ramsey County are White. Less than 2% (1.3%) of seniors are of Hispanic heritage. The following chart illustrates the distribution of seniors of color across the 7 commissioner districts.

Chart 2: Percent of Older Adults of Color by Commissioner District³

Commissioner District	% of Non-White Seniors in individual Districts
Commissioner District 1	2.2%
Commissioner District 2	2.6%
Commissioner District 3	13.4%
Commissioner District 4	17%
Commissioner District 5	6.8%
Commissioner District 6	8.7%
Commissioner District 7	2.2%
Ramsey County	7% Overall Percentage

Older adults of color live primarily in CDs 3, 4, and 6. In CD 3, elders of color are primarily Asian or African American. In CD 4, the majority of elders of color are African American. In CD 6, the largest portion of elders of color is Asian. Of all the districts, Hispanic elderly are most likely to live in CD 5. Forty-seven percent (47%) of all individuals who were over 65 and identified themselves as Hispanic (N=777), lived in CD 5.

Detailed information on age, race and ethnicity by commission district is in Appendix A of this report.

³ Hispanic seniors are not included in this chart because the 2000 Census defined Hispanic as a cultural rather than racial characteristic.

Section II: Survey

The random sample surveyed 502 seniors. Survey results are available by commissioner district for 500 seniors. (2 respondents were not identified by district.) The following chart shows the number of individuals interviewed in each district. Sample size varies by district because the focus of the survey was to present accurate results for the County rather than individual districts. The survey used the demographic profile of Ramsey County older adults to produce a representative sample. Small differences between commissioner districts are most likely not meaningful.

Chart 3: Sample by Commissioner District

Commissioner District	Sample Size by District
Commissioner District 1	73
Commissioner District 2	107
Commissioner District 3	56
Commissioner District 4	44
Commissioner District 5	63
Commissioner District 6	63
Commissioner District 7	94

A. Home Ownership

Graph 3: Percent of Seniors by Commissioner District who own their home
Error! Not a valid link.

Overall, 77% of seniors own their own home in Ramsey County. Senior respondents in CD 1 are the most likely to own their own homes (89%). In CD 5, only 68% of seniors own their home. They were also more likely to live in a town home. Seniors in CD 2 and 5 were the most likely to live in an apartment, including apartments for seniors.

B. Safety

Graph 4: Neighborhood Safety

Error! Not a valid link.

In Ramsey County, 97% of all seniors feel that it is safe to walk in their neighborhoods during the day. Twenty percent (20%) fewer feel that it is safe to walk in their neighborhood at night. Older adults who live in CD 3 report feeling the least safe day or night. Only 54% believe that it is safe to walk in their neighborhoods at night.

C. Community Participation

Seniors were asked a series of questions on their view of their neighborhood, their involvement and sense of community. Graphs 5 and 6 illustrate differences in levels of community participation in different districts.

Older adults were asked, “Do you get involved with many community activities?” Graph 5 shows that seniors in CD 1 and 2 are more than twice as likely as seniors in District 6 to be involved in the community. On average, between 30 and 40% of seniors report being involved in community activities.

Graph 5: Community Involvement

Error! Not a valid link.

The survey also asked if individuals felt they had the ability to change things in their neighborhood.

- 73.8% of seniors in CD 1 felt they were able to change things. This is the highest of any district.
- Conversely, only 36.7% of seniors in CD 6 felt that they were able to change things in their neighborhood.
- In the remaining CDs, approximately 50% of seniors felt that they could change things in their neighborhood.

Seniors were asked if they “generally trusted their neighbors to look out for them?”

- On average, 90% or more of seniors trust their neighbors.
- In CD 3, 80% of the respondents said that they trusted their neighbors.

The survey also asked if “people in your neighborhood willing to help each other out?” The majority of seniors responded positively to this question. Between 87% and 96% said that people were willing to help each other.

When asked if they felt connected to people in their neighborhood, responses varied from approximately 72% in CD 5 and 3 to about 85% feeling connected in CDs 1,2,and 7.

Seniors were asked if their neighbors made it difficult for them at times.

Graph 6: Neighbors

Error! Not a valid link.

As can be seen from Graph 6 above, there are large differences between commissioner districts in the responses to this question. Seniors living in CD 3, 4, and 6 are more likely to express a concern about their neighbors.

D: Support Network

The next set of questions looked at the support network seniors have. Older adults were asked about their social network. Questions looked at whether they provided support to others and if there were individuals who would assist them if they needed help.

There were large differences in the survey respondents in the percentage who lived alone. Seniors in several districts reported low numbers that were living alone.

- 30% were living alone in CD 1
- 34% in CD 4 and 36% in CD 6
- 54% of respondents reported living alone in CD 3 and
- 51% were living alone in CD 5

Not all seniors are able to rely on the individuals they live with for support. Individuals receiving in home support services are much more likely to live alone.

In response to the question “When you are shopping are you likely to run into friends and acquaintances?”

- Over 70% of seniors in CD 7 reported running into friends
- On average, about 60% of seniors report running into friends when they are out in the remaining districts

Older adults in all the commissioner districts reported that there were friends or family members that they could call on for help right away. Between 93% and 99% of seniors in all the districts had someone they could call on.

People were asked how often they communicate with others. Differences in the level and type of contact seniors are having is evident from Graph 7. Ninety-one percent (91%) of respondents in CD 2 had weekly face-to-face contact while about 74% of seniors in CD 4 and 5 had weekly face-to-face contact. Older adults in all districts are talking to friends and family at least once a week by phone. The range was from 89% in CD 5 to 97% in CD 1. On average, 25% of older adults had weekly contact with others via email. This ranged from a low of 12.5% of seniors in CD 3 to almost 40% of seniors in CD 2.

Graph 7: Weekly Contacts

Error! Not a valid link.

Graph 8 on this page illustrates that many older adults make substantial contributions to the community they live in.

Older adults were asked if they helped out in the community. Over 50% of the seniors in CD 1, 2, 4, and 7 report helping out in the community. Between 30 and 40% of seniors in CD 3, 5 and 6 help out in the community.

Seniors were asked if they provided or arranged help for a friend or relative who was ill or disabled.

- 30% of elders in CD 6 report providing help for a friend or relative
- 14% of older adults in CD 5 report helping a friend or relative who was ill or disabled
- In the remaining districts, an average of 23% of seniors report providing help

Slightly over 30% of older adults in CD 1, 2, and 3 care for a grandchild. Around 22% of seniors in CD 4, 5, and 6 care for a grandchild.

Graph 8: Seniors who Help Others

Error! Not a valid link.

E. Transportation

Overall 9% of seniors in Ramsey County say they most frequently use a bus for transportation. Twenty percent (20%) of the seniors in CD 4 use public transportation. Seniors in CD 1 are the least likely to rely on public transportation. Only 4% use public transportation frequently.

Graph 9: Transportation

Error! Not a valid link. Seniors in CD 3 are the most likely to rely on friends or relatives for transportation, 39% rely on someone else. In CD 6, 36% of seniors get a ride from someone else.


F. Perspectives on Health

Seniors were asked if they had someone who would take care of them if they were sick or disabled.

The percentage of seniors who had someone who could take care of them was pretty similar across districts. Seniors in CDs 4 and 7 were the least likely to have individuals who could care for them for a long time. Individuals in CD 6 generally felt they had the highest levels of support if they became sick or disabled.

Graph 10: Help from others

Someone who could take of me if I became sick or disabled.



- In most of the commissioner districts more than 30% of the respondents rated their health as very good.
- In CD 3 and 6 only 25% of seniors described their overall health as very good.
- Between 32 and 40 percent of seniors in CD 1, 2, 4, 5 and 7 rated their health as very good.
- Seniors in CD 2 and 7 were less positive about their health with only 25% rating their health as very good
- When asked if they were very concerned about suffering from poor health, 6% of seniors in CD 2 were concerned compared to 18% in CD 3
- The percentage of seniors who said they are very satisfied with their life at present varied from a low of 41% in CD 4 and 5, to high of 58% in CD 1

G. Finances

- 19% of seniors in CD 5 were very concerned about outliving their financial resources
- Outliving their financial resources was an issue for only 7% of the seniors in CD 2
- 8% of the elderly in CD 7 said that they didn't have enough money to pay for their medications, this was the highest of the CDs
- In CD 2, only 2% of seniors reported that they didn't have enough money to pay for their medications

Summary and Comments by Individual Districts

Commissioner District 1 – Commissioner Tony Bennett

Seniors in CD 1 are wealthier and younger than seniors in the other 6 Commissioner Districts. While they are younger, only 32% rate their health as very good, this is lower than several other districts. They are involved in their community, are frequently in contact with others and feel their neighborhood is safe.

Comments

One-third of respondents who live in District 1 said they wouldn't change anything about their community, or they don't know what they would change about it. Another one-fifth of respondents made generally positive comments about their community when asked what they would change. Other comments made by between 5 and 10 respondents related to transportation, access to services, and housing. The comments that follow illustrate common themes that were discussed by respondents from District 1.

It is important that they provide public transportation for older adults.

Having pleasant people around. When you are in a nursing home, that is the most important thing, to have pleasant people around.

I have ground water seeping into the basement. If that could be solved, it would make the community a better place to live.

I think it is good right now.

I don't like to go to things alone. If there was a bus service for activities, that would be good.

Commissioner District 2 – Commissioner Jan Wiessner

The age distribution of seniors in Commissioner District 2 is similar to the composition of Commissioner Districts 4, 6 and 7. The seniors in District 2 are very social. They report high levels of contact with others either in person, by phone or email. Internet usage is very high with almost 40% of seniors reporting using email to communicate with others. They feel safe in their neighborhoods and trust their neighbors. While a number of them are involved in community activities (46%), they feel less able to change things in their neighborhood (51%) compared to seniors in CD 1 where 74% felt that they could change things in their neighborhood.

Comments

Nearly one-half of respondents who live in District 2 said they wouldn't change anything about their community, or they don't know what they would change about it. Another one-fifth of the respondents made generally positive comments about their community when asked what they would change. Other comments made by between 5 and 15 respondents related to transportation, neighborhood improvements, access to services, housing, and learning and meaningful activities. The comments that follow illustrate common themes that were discussed by respondents from District 2.

I can't think of anything. I'm really happy here.

These roads are full of trash. They need to clean it up. Some of the houses have too much junk in their yards.

I don't think you could make it any better. It is a very elderly-committed community.

At this point, it isn't a factor for me, but eventually transportation will be a problem, because I live in the suburbs and there aren't many busses.

If they [older adults] can get a doctor when they need one. If you want to change doctors you can hardly find one who will take you. They don't want to take older patients. That, I know.

It would be nice if seniors could call someone to give them a ride to the doctor or the grocery store, without always having to rely on one's family.

I think the community could be stricter about laws for neighborhoods, like people having two or three dead cars sitting in their driveways, yards being littered. I think we could have a cleaner neighborhood. It used to be much more that way years ago.

Commissioner District 3 – Commissioner Janice Rettman

Seniors in Commissioner District 3 are older and poorer than most of the other Commissioner Districts. Forty-five percent (45%) of the individuals responding to the survey had incomes below \$15,000, the most of any Commissioner District. They depend on others for their transportation or are more likely to use the bus than seniors in any other Commissioner District. They are more likely to be concerned about their health than older adults in other districts.

Comments

Two-fifths of respondents who live in District 3 said they wouldn't change anything about their community, or they don't know what they would change. Another 14% of respondents made generally positive comments about their community when asked what they would change. Fifteen percent (15%) of the seniors in CD 3 expressed concern about their safety and the safety of their neighborhood. It was the only Commissioner District where older adults expressed concerns about gangs and/or drugs. Other comments made by between 5 and 10 respondents related to transportation and neighborhood improvements. The comments that follow illustrate common themes that were discussed by respondents from District 3.

Clean up the streets; get rid of the gang bangers who beat up older people.

By breaking these crack houses [up]. It isn't safe to be out at night.

More respect for the elders.

Maybe a grocery store, more of a neighborhood grocery.

I would like an apartment close to my church, and better bus service.

They have so many things going on now that older people don't have to be lonely now.

People I know are happy in their own homes, left alone and respected.

Commissioner District 4 – Commissioner Susan Haigh

Commissioner District 4 is a diverse community with high levels of income and education. It has the largest proportion of African American residents between the 7 CD. Thirty percent of the survey participants were still working, compared to between 9% and 18% in the other Districts.

Comments

Less than one-half of respondents who live in District 4 said they wouldn't change anything about their community, or they don't know what they would change about it. Another 14% of respondents made generally positive comments about their community when asked what they would change. Other comments made by between 5 and 10 respondents related to transportation, neighborhood improvements, and housing. The comments that follow illustrate common themes that were discussed by respondents from District 4.

There isn't much around here. I don't know about other elderly people around here besides me. It's all residential [in this community]. There should be more things we could afford to get out to do.

Good enough as it is.

If we had an apartment building for seniors in the neighborhood, so I could stay in the neighborhood.

The transportation services are wonderful at the moment, so hopefully they won't change that. But I think it will change when the light rail comes in. But at the moment it's wonderful for anybody.

The bottom line is public safety. My neighborhood is pretty safe, but I wouldn't walk at night. Public safety is a concern. Older people aren't as mobile, can't avoid danger as easily. The local community center and the Y [MCA] are huge assets that help older people feel mentally and physically active.

Commissioner District 5 – Commissioner Raphael Ortega

Commissioner District 5 has the largest number of Hispanic/Latino elderly (5%). A high percentage of elderly in this district are over the age of 80. Only 60% of the seniors in this district live in single-family homes with a much larger percentage in apartments or condos, 36%. This is a group of elderly who are concerned about their resources and tend to be less satisfied than seniors in other districts with their lives (only 41% report being very satisfied with their life).

Comments

Two-fifths of respondents who live in District 5 said they wouldn't change anything about their community, or they don't know what they would change about it. Another 11% of respondents made generally positive comments about their community when asked what they would change. Other comments made by between 5 and 10 respondents related to transportation, neighborhood improvements, and safety. The comments that follow illustrate common themes that were discussed by respondents from District 5.

I have no problems with anything. I am very independent...There are a lot of services for people.

There are improvements that could be made but I don't get involved anymore.

I am really frustrated with the prices of medications right now. All the prices are going up because of advertising, not research like they say, and seniors are paying for it. It is ridiculous because most insurance does not cover it [prescription drugs].

The price of rents. If you can't get reduced [cost] housing or something. It would be nice to be able to get an apartment where you didn't have to depend on the government to help you out.

If we had more recognition of our original Hispanic community. It is now so imbedded with too many others.

We would like to see more patrolling of the neighborhood, especially at night, especially up and down the side streets. I've been burglarized three times this year.

Fewer bars. In general, I think they are rude people that go there, with disregard for the neighborhood and the people here. Inconsiderate people.

Commissioner District 6 – Commissioner Jim McDonough

Commissioner District 6 has one of the more diverse elderly populations. It has the second largest number of senior Asian residents. The distribution of the elderly across the age groupings is similar to several other districts. Only 25% of the survey participants rated their health as very good, however the lowest number, only 32%, said that they felt depressed or anxious in the last year. The income distribution of elderly respondents in Commissioner District 6 is poor with only 8% making over \$50,000 a year and 64% making under \$25,000 a year. Elderly respondents in the District most frequently live in single-family housing. Survey data indicated that many seniors were concerned about their ability to maintain their housing. Given the average age of the housing stock in Commissioner District 6 this is most likely a critical issue for many seniors.

Comments

Less than half of respondents who live in District 6 said they wouldn't change anything about their community, or they don't know what they would change about it. One-quarter of respondents made generally positive comments about their community when asked what they would change. Other comments made by between 5 and 10 respondents related to neighborhood improvements, housing, and safety. The comments that follow illustrate common themes that were discussed by respondents from District 6.

Lower the taxes.

I don't think it's such a bad place to live. Rides are available for shopping and church.

Our neighborhood is a good environment for older people.

A supermarket closer to home.

I moved to Minnesota from somewhere where we had a county-wide number we could call if we needed help getting things done. People would pay if they could, but also get free help if they couldn't pay. I have not found anything here like that. I can find some young people in the neighborhood who can do things for me, but I really haven't been able to find the same kind of assistance here.

They should have some better housing for when people can't own their own housing any more, more like cottage-type housing, and not the high-rise sort of thing. It should be housing that is more independent until they need assistance. But not housing you own, because if you buy something, you still have the same problem of owning that you had before.

Basically, if people would keep the neighborhood cleaner. One of the big problems is rental housing with absentee landlords.

Commissioner District 7 – Commissioner Victoria Reinhart

The elderly in Commissioner District 7 is a predominantly white community with strong social ties. Most individuals interviewed had friends or family in the neighborhood and were likely to run into them when they went out. They are frequent Internet users, 29% used the Internet to communicate with others. The income distribution of the respondents was evenly spread across the categories with 22% making less than \$15,000 a year and 20% making more than \$50,000 a year. A high percentage, 54% were satisfied with their life at the present.

Comments

Two-fifths of respondents who live in District 7 said they wouldn't change anything about their community, or they don't know what they would change about it. When asked what they would change in their community, nearly one-third of respondents made generally positive comments about their community. Other comments made by between 5 and 15 respondents related to transportation, housing, and access to services. The comments that follow illustrate common themes that were discussed by respondents from District 7.

I live in a community for older adults, so everything is OK. Maybe the owner could get more involved.

More transportation, bus service.

I think it is just about an ideal community right now. It is a newer development with people owning their homes.

Some way to meet new neighbors. I used to know everyone on the block. Now there are so many new people I don't know most people now.

I don't know of anything that would make it better. It depends on the older people, whether they can drive or get around. I really don't need any assistance to get around. I can drive.

I wish we had a senior center.

More senior high-rises, more senior-specific transportation.

I can't think of anything. It's such a pleasant place to live.

Less property taxes, less expensive heating and cooling bills, house insurance. The general cost of living for a single senior on a limited income is outrageous.

Appendix: 2000 Census Information

	District 1 Bennet	District 2 Wiessner	District 3 Rettman	District 4 Haigh	District 5 Ortega	District 6 McDonough	District 7 Reinhardt	C- Total
Age:								
Total 55+ Population	14217	19094	10938	10706	14646	11426	17103	98130
55-64 Yrs Old	6880	7408	3915	4630	5050	4250	6495	38628
65 - 79 Yrs Old	5800	8222	4415	4168	5901	5264	7715	41485
80+ Yrs Old	1537	3464	2608	1908	3695	1912	2893	18017
65+ Total Population by Age	7337	11686	7023	6076	9596	7176	10608	59502
Race:								
65+ Total Population by Race	7337	11686	7023	6076	9596	7176	10608	59502
Whites	7176	11385	6084	5048	8945	6553	10371	55562
Non-Whites	161	301	939	1028	651	623	237	3940
65+ Total Population by Race	7337	11686	6987	6076	9596	7176	10608	59466
African-American or Black	27	72	361	758	159	113	74	1564
American-Indian & Alaska Native	8	6	25	20	33	37	15	144
Asian	96	169	449	144	206	374	101	1539
Native Hawaiian & Other Pacific Islander	0	2	3	1	0	0	0	6
White	7176	11385	6048	5048	8945	6553	10371	55526
Some Other Race	6	14	40	22	159	45	15	301
Two or More Races	24	38	61	83	94	54	32	386
Hispanics	22	40	95	73	365	126	56	777
Total 55+ Total Population by Race	14302	19194	11171	10878	15382	11737	17286	99950
African-American or Black	69	150	689	1333	334	277	155	3007
55-64 Yrs Old	42	78	328	515	175	164	81	1383
65 -79 Yrs Old	24	56	275	575	122	99	60	1211
80+ Yrs Old	3	16	86	168	37	14	14	338

	District 1 Bennet	District 2 Wiessner	District 3 Rettman	District 4 Haigh	District 5 Ortega	District 6 McDonough	District 7 Reinhardt	C- Total
American-Indian & Alaska Native	23	39	61	50	96	81	36	386
55-64 Yrs Old	15	33	36	30	63	44	21	242
65-79 Yrs Old	8	5	17	17	26	31	13	117
80+ Yrs Old	0	1	8	3	7	6	2	27
			25					
Asian	266	417	929	292	424	704	192	3224
55-64 Yrs Old	170	248	480	148	218	330	91	1685
65-79 Yrs Old	84	136	354	116	163	297	77	1227
80+ Yrs Old	12	33	95	28	43	77	24	312
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	2	4	6	2	1	3	0	18
55-64 Yrs Old	2	4	3	1	1	3	0	14
65-79 Yrs Old	0	1	3	1	0	0	0	5
80+ Yrs Old	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
White	13817	18373	9043	8838	13288	10119	16696	90174
55-64 Yrs Old	6628	6984	2959	3781	4343	3566	6291	34552
65-79 Yrs Old	5675	7988	3688	3366	5390	4755	7555	38417
80+ Yrs Old	1514	3401	2396	1691	3555	1798	2850	17205

	District 1 Bennet	District 2 Wiessner	District 3 Rettman	District 4 Haigh	District 5 Ortega	District 6 McDonough	District 7 Reinhardt	C- Total
Some Other Race	16	35	93	63	334	115	35	691
55-64 Yrs Old	10	21	53	41	175	70	19	389
65-79 Yrs Old	5	11	32	19	130	36	15	248
80+ Yrs Old	1	3		3	29	9	1	46
Two or More Races	47	81	117	140	169	127	60	741
55-64 Yrs Old	23	43	56	57	75	73	28	355
65-79 Yrs Old	17	29	46	65	70	46	25	298
80+ Yrs Old	7	9	15	18	24	8	7	88
Hispanic or Latino	62	95	233	160	736	311	112	1709
55-64 Yrs Old	40	55	138	87	371	185	55	931
65-79 Yrs Old	16	31	79	59	310	105	51	651
80+ Yrs Old	6	9	16	14	55	21	6	127
Household Type for 65 Yrs and Over:								
In Family Households	4939	7021	3811	3109	4223	4607	6515	34225
In Nonfamily Households	1939	3733	2550	2261	4031	2555	3248	20317
Institutionalized Population	394	921	641	668	813	1	557	3995
Noninstitutionalized Population	65	11	21	38	529	13	288	965
Living Alone (In non-family households)**	380	677	581	544	841	589	609	4221
Disability:								
65-74 with Disability	851	1092	1043	720	937	1153	1292	7088
65-74 with Disability (male)	430	551	425	343	428	469	622	3268
65-74 with Disability (Female)	421	541	618	377	509	684	670	3820
75+ with Disability	1397	2433	1588	1315	2664	1543	2249	13189
75+ with Disability (Male)	598	713	514	477	746	514	703	4265
75+ with Disability (Female)	799	1720	1074	838	1918	1029	1546	8924

	District 1 Bennet	District 2 Wiessner	District 3 Rettman	District 4 Haigh	District 5 Ortega	District 6 McDonough	District 7 Reinhardt	C- Total
Poverty:								
Population for whom poverty status is determined								
Total 65+ below poverty level	316	332	652	384	1143	521	438	3786
65-74 Years Old	137	170	340	227	360	236	155	1625
75+	179	162	312	157	783	285	283	2161
Total 65+ at or above poverty level:	7459	10323	5816	4787	7862	6657	8944	51848
65-74 Years Old	4607	5369	2735	2442	3393	3384	4862	26792
75+	2852	4954	3081	2345	4469	3273	4082	25056
Total Language spoken at Home:	523	654	714	456	1157	686	388	4578
Spanish	91	96	55	69	324	99	46	780
Other Indo-European Languages	361	405	176	146	604	236	219	2147
Asian Pacific Islander	55	117	415	131	187	332	80	1317
Other languages	16	36	68	110	42	19	43	334